



# Research and Development Protocol for Grazed Orchards in Northern Ireland

Project name	AGFORWARD (613520)
Work-package	3: Agroforestry for High Value Trees
Specific group	Grazed orchards in Northern Ireland, UK
Milestone	Milestone 10 (3.3) Research and development protocol for WP3
Date of report	17 February 2015
Authors Jim Mc Adam and Frances Ward, Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute,	
	Manor House, Loughgall, Co Armagh, BT61 8JB, UK
Contact	jimmcadam@afbini.gov.uk
Approved	24 April 2015 (Paul Burgess)

# Contents

1	Context	2
2	Background	
3	Objective of experiment	
4	System description	
5	Experimental design	
6	Crop management and husbandry	
7	Scientific management	
8	Statistical analysis	
	Acknowledgements	
	References	
App	endix A. Example recording sheets	14
	endix B. Climatic data 1981 – 2010	



AGFORWARD (Grant Agreement N° 613520) is co-funded by the European Commission, Directorate General for Research & Innovation, within the 7th Framework Programme of RTD. The views and opinions expressed in this report are purely those of the writers and may not in any circumstances be regarded as stating an official position of the European Commission.

#### 1 Context

The AGFORWARD research project (January 2014-December 2017), funded by the European Commission, is promoting agroforestry practices in Europe that will advance sustainable rural development. The project has four objectives:

- 1. to understand the context and extent of agroforestry in Europe,
- 2. to identify, develop and field-test innovations (through participatory research) to improve the benefits and viability of agroforestry systems in Europe,
- 3. to evaluate innovative agroforestry designs and practices at a field-, farm- and landscape scale, and
- 4. to promote the wider adoption of appropriate agroforestry systems in Europe through policy development and dissemination.

This report contributes to the second objective. It contributes to the initial research and development protocol for one of the ten stakeholder groups which are focused on the use of agroforestry in high value tree systems.

#### 2 Background

Apples (*Malus domestica*), are the main fruit produced in Northern Ireland, with 99% being of the "Bramley" apple variety. The other 1% is made up of cider and dessert varieties. In March 2012, the Armagh Bramley Apple gained Protected Geographical Indication (PGI) Status. Currently 96% of production is in County Armagh which is also known as "The Orchard County". The annual rainfall is up to 2000 mm and the mean temperature is 3°C in winter and 18°C in summer. The soils in the area are highly fertile, silt-loam or clay-loam, high in calcium and essential nutrients with a pure and abundant supply of water.

The apple industry in Northern Ireland has 223 Independent growers farming 1506 ha of orchards, with a typical field size of 1.5 to 4 ha. The tree spacing varies with the rootstock used: the M9 dwarfing rootstocks are widely used with a typical spacing of 4 m between rows and 1.5 m between trees. The field boundaries are planted with windbreaks or hedgerows of mixed woody species. The area below the windbreaks is generally left unmown, producing a dense understorey. Grass strips between trees mowed regularly. Pruning is carried out manually in the summer and winter. To aid pollination, honey bees are brought into the orchards during the flowering period.

Across Northern Ireland, approximately 35 tonnes of pesticides are applied each year (2012 figure) primarily as fungicides to prevent the main disease apple scab (*Venturia inaequalis*). A typical spray regime involves fungicide applications every 10-14 days from May (flowering) to end of July. There will also typically be one application of an insecticide each year and two herbicide applications per year.

The industry employs directly about 300 full time workers and 450 casual staff. Other industries such as handling, packing, juicing and cider making also generate further employment. The apple industry in Northern Ireland produces an average 30-45,000 t/year. Of this about 12-15% is sold fresh with a market value of £6.3 million, 60-70% to an added value market worth £7-8 million, and 25-30% to juice. In is estimated that 35% of fresh apples are exported to Republic of Ireland and the rest of the UK. It is estimated that the total annual value of the apple industry in Northern Ireland is £13.3-14.3 million.

The other component of the grazed orchard system is sheep management. There are about 1.9 million sheep in Northern Ireland. These are managed by about 20,000 beef and sheep farmers (no distinction is made) and the average farm size is 35.5 ha. More work is needed to find which of these might be suitable for silvopastoral systems, but there is a large potential.

Livestock incur costs and add additional complexity to the system, and an administrative burden. However, these orchards can be mown about eight times a year, and may require herbicide application to control weeds, which have an additional expense, environmental impact, amongst other disadvantages Therefore, if the complexity and additional administrative burden can be overcome, there exist opportunities for using grazing as a tool to manage the grass understory whilst providing grazing for sheep, and potentially other beneficial synergies. For example, it has been postulated by farmers that better control of apple scab might be achieved by grazing, since sheep will eat apple leaves immediately as they fall to the ground, and help to decompose old leaves by trampling, thus reducing harbourage for the organism responsible (Corroyer 2014; McAdam 2014).

A meeting of the 'Grazed Orchards in Northern Ireland' stakeholder group was held on 4 December 2014, at which it was decided that a key area of interest was to study sheep grazing (mixed breed) in dessert and cider orchards.

#### 3 Objective of experiment

The aim of the experiment is to produce quantitative information about the use of sheep (mixed breeds) to graze Cider and Dessert orchards in comparison to normal management, mechanical mowing and similar sized grazing plots without trees.

Key questions include:

- What are the financial and labour impacts of grazing?
- Is there any damage to trees caused either by mechanical mowing or grazing?
- What is the impact of grazing on the bottom of tree canopies?
- What is the impact of grazing in the orchard on weight and condition of sheep?
- Develop a better understanding of the constraints imposed in normal orchard operations, such as spraying, of grazing with sheep.
- Is grazing a problem for the fruit quality, do the animals or competition with weeds impact fruit vield?

Alongside these questions, a number of hypotheses can be developed:

- Savings will be made on the cost of mowing as a result of the introduction of sheep into the
  orchards, although these may be offset by the additional labour related costs associated with
  handling the sheep.
- The sheep will browse the lower branches of the trees, thereby raising the lower limit of the canopy. This damage will be light.
- Sheep live weight gain will be similar to the live weight gain expected on a similar area of pure pasture for a similar time period.

# 4 System description



Figure 1. Stakeholder group in Northern Ireland discussing sheep grazing in orchards

The experimental orchard is located in the Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute (AFBI) research station, Loughgall Co. Armagh Northern Ireland. The orchard was planted in 1998 and consists of wo apple varieties: 1) dessert – Jonagold, tree spacing 4 m between rows x 1.5 m between trees, 1485 trees/ha, rootstock M9. 2) cider – Coet-de-linge tree spacing 5 m between rows x 2 m between trees, 1485 trees/ha, rootstock MM106.

Each variety has four blocks surrounded by an Alder windbreak. Each of these blocks is further divided into two plots. During 2014 all necessary fencing was carried out to allow sheep to run safely in the orchard. At present the bottom of the canopy is about 75 to 80 cm from the ground. The grass sward is suitable for sheep, whilst a water trough will be accessible to the sheep. All harvesting will be carried out by hand picking or gathering of windfalls. Further details are given in Table 1.



Figure 2. Location of AFBI, Northern Ireland.



Figure 3. Overview of the AFBI trial plots (2014)



Figure 4. A trial plot area

Table 1. Description of the site, with soil, tree, understorey, livestock, and climate characteristics.

Site characteristics						
Area (ha):	Area (ha):					
Co-ordinates:	54.412075°North; -65.81690°West					
Site contact:	Jim Mc Adam					
Site contact email address	jimmcadam@afbini.gov.uk					

Soil characteristics						
Soil type (WRB classification)	Eutric rhodic cambisol					
	Calcareous brown earth on carboniferous limestone red till					
Soil depth	30 cm					
Soil texture (sand%, silt%, clay%)	Clay loam and clay, Clay-rich red soil					
Horizon	sand%, silt%, clay%					
Ар	50.6 25.1 24.3					
Bw	42.4 14.4 43.2					
С	43.3 26.5 30.1					
Aspect	Easterly aspect, tending slightly to the South East					
Landscape	This unusual clay-rich red soil is found in the drumlins of Co. Armagh from Loughgall to Armagh City and Navan.					
Soil Series Area	Red limestone (Carboniferous) till covers 3,005 ha, or 0.22% Northern Ireland area.					
	Available P is adequate in the Ap, but K and Mg are low. pH is extremely high in B and C horizons, reflecting the high Ex. Calcium values and high total of exchangeable bases. CEC is base saturated. High per cent LOI reflects presence of carbonates. The strong red colour of the soil is not reflected in total iron values. Soil textures are clay loam and clay (clay is 43.2%) in the B horizon. The soil may be classed possibly as a PELOSOL.  Munsell colour of B horizon is 2.5YR 4/4 Reddish Brown					

	Climate data					
Mean monthly temperature	13.3°C					
Mean annual precipitation	812 mm Reference Climatic data 1981 – 2010 for Ardress House, reference UK Meteorological Office Web Site (2015).					
Additional details	Chances of spring frosts average of 16.5 days frost over the past 30 years from March to May					
Details of weather station	Data can be accessed from 2 weather stations both within 2 miles for the trial site. Weather station 1 is used to feed data to the national met station. Number 2 is used to record data for the prediction of Apple Scab infection periods.					

Table 1 continued

Tree characteristics									
Experimental design: fac	torial 3 crop areas (2 a	apple varieties + 1 grass) x	2 management (mov	v or sheep) x 4 repli	cations = 24 plots				
	Treatment 1	Treatment 2	Treatment 3	Treatment 4	Treatment 5	Treatment 6			
Tree species	Cider apple	Dessert apple	No trees, grass paddock	Cider apple	Dessert apple	No trees, grass Paddock			
Variety			Similar sized grass plots	Coet-de-linge	t-de-linge Jonagold Sim				
Rootstock	MM106	MM106		MM106	MM106				
Tree density (spacing)									
Between rows	5 m	4 m		5 m	4 m				
Between trees	2 m	1.5 m		2 m	1.5 m				
Trees per ha	900	1485		900	1485				
Approximate	10 m x 33 m	Either 10 m x 33 m	10 m x 33 m	10 m x 33 m	Either 10 m x 33 m	10 m x 33 m			
experimental plot size	(0.033 ha)	(0.033 ha) or 14.5 m x 33 m (0.048 ha)	(0.033 ha)	(0.033 ha)	(0.033 ha) or 14.5 m x 33 m (0.048 ha)	(0.033 ha)			
Tree protection	Hare guards	Hare guards		Hare guards	Hare guards				
Management	Mow April to harvest	Mow April to harvest	Mow April to harvest	Sheep	Sheep	Sheep			
Under Story	Herbicide strip	Herbicide strip	No trees Grass	Herbicide strip	Herbicide strip	No trees Grass			
Management	(2m wide TBC)	(2m wide TBC)	paddock	(2 m wide TBC)	(2 m wide TBC)	paddock			
coverage	Some weeds	Some weeds	Complete	Some weeds	Some weeds	Complete			
Sheep breed	None	None	None	Mixed	Mixed	Mixed			
Stock rate				3 per plot	3 per plot	3 per plot			

# 5 Experimental design

#### 5.1 Conceptual design

This experiment follows a replicated split-plot design. Classically these experiments are used in agricultural research for testing interactions between a number of different factors for example irrigation, plant hybrid, or fertiliser rate. In these experiments, every combination of each of the factors is tested in a number of 'sub-plots'. Every field is unique, with its own slope, aspect, soil, local micro-climate, but uncertainty caused by these so-called 'site effects' can be reduced by replicating the experiment several times in several fields, or more commonly, in several adjacent blocks. Split plot experiments are considered to be robust and produce a high quality of information for scientists.

#### 5.2 Description of design

This experiment combines four replicates of three crops: Dessert apples, Cider apples, and a grass control, with a management treatment: grazing or mowing (Table 2).

Table 2. Experimental treatments (with 4 replicates = 24 plots).

Treatment and variety	Management	Animal density	Grazing/mowing
1. Coet-de-linge (Cider)	Mow	0	Mow April to before harvest
2. Jonagold (Dessert)	Mow	0	Mow April to before harvest
3. Grass (Control)	Mow	0	Mow April to before harvest
4. Coet-de-linge (Cider)	Graze	6 per plot	Sheep June to before harvest Sheep after harvest
5. Jonagold (Dessert)	Graze	6 per plot	Sheep June to before harvest Sheep After Harvest
6. Grass (Control)	Graze	6 per plot	Sheep June to before harvest Sheep after Harvest

# **Orchard details:**

Site Dessert: Jonagold; cider:- Coet-de linge and plots in Bettycooks

# Rootstock MM106

#### **Jonagold**

Tree spacing 5 rows x 16 trees (4 m between rows x 1.5 m between trees) = 1485 trees per ha Main plots = 80 trees x 4

Spilt the main plots to give 4 mowed and 4 grazed treatments = 8 plots

#### Coet-de-linge

Tree spacing 4 rows x 10 trees (5 m between rows x 2 m between trees) = 900 trees per ha Main plots = 40 trees x 4

Spilt the main plots to give 4 mowed and 4 grazed treatments = 8 plots

## **Grass plot areas**

4 mowed and 4 grazed = 8 plots

#### **Livestock details**

3 dry sheep per grazed plot to be rotated between treatments 3 and 6

# 6 Crop management and husbandry

Table 3. Crop management

Time	Crop management/Husbandry
	Before carrying out any husbandry activity in this orchard please discuss with Fran or Jim Mc Adam.
Early season <sup>1</sup>	<ul> <li>All plots to receive fungicide applications up to end of flowering.</li> <li>Mowing is to be maintained in all plots up until 10 days before sheep application (Start of May) record mowing dates</li> <li>Spring herbicide application on all plots, pre sheep application.</li> <li>This area had fertilisers applied Spring 2014. No further fertilisers to be applied for the trial period.</li> </ul>
End of flowering (May)	<ul> <li>Sheep to be applied from end of flowering</li> <li>Once sheep are on mowing is carried out only in the Mowing plots.</li> <li>Pruning and water shots to be removed as normal. Record dates of activities.</li> </ul>
Late Aug to Harvest	<ul><li>Sheep removed. To provide a 56 day harvest interval</li><li>Normal orchard husbandry to continue.</li></ul>
Post-harvest	<ul> <li>Apply the sheep to treatments plots as soon as possible.</li> <li>Sheep do not eat brown leaves so sheep are to be applied to plots as soon as possible after harvest to consume the leaves as they fall. The sheep are to stay on the plots as long as possible to clean up the site; this will be weather and amount of grass dependant.</li> </ul>

<sup>1:</sup> Until end of flowering this orchard is to receive the same husbandry as the other orchards on the estate

# 7 Scientific management

Table 4. Timing of scientific management

Time	Scientific management Scientific management
Pre June/stocking	Soil analysis x 18 samples
of trial	Record distance from soil to lowest growth point before sheep
	Trial is stocked.
	Pre stocking – 18 grass samples cut and dried
	Soil compaction measurements
	• Worms
	Sward heights
Sheep records	Monitor the height of the grass in the sheep plots, when it reaches 4 cm
when on the	rotate the sheep to the next plot in the treatment (treatments 4, 5 or 6).
orchards	From 2014 experience this could be as little as 3 days stocking for each
0.0	plot. Let the plot rest for 10 days before restocking. If necessary remove
	the sheep from the trial for 10 days.
	Record the weight of each sheep as it is put into and taken from a
	treatment plot.
	Record the number of days a sheep stays in a plot
	Sheep grazing days – number of sheep x days in the plot
June	Leaf scab assessments (on 4 central trees per plot)
030	Damage caused by sheep each time sheep are removed from a plot
July	Leaf scab assessments (on 4 central trees per plot)
0,	Damage caused by sheep each time sheep are removed from a plot
August	Leaf scab assessments (on 4 central trees per plot)
7108000	<ul> <li>Damage caused by sheep each time sheep are removed from a plot</li> </ul>
	When sheep removed before harvest 18 grass samples cut and dried (1)
	per plot) (?digestibility required)
	<ul> <li>Height soil to lowest branch (on 4 central trees per plot) (4 sides per tree)</li> </ul>
	Soil compaction
	Worm assessment
	Soil analysis
	Record distance from soil to lowest growth point before and after sheep
September	Harvest weights from the full plot
	Plot Weights: picked and drops; grades
	Fruit scab, and fruit pest (Blastobasis)
	Fruit diseases,
	Fruit damage from sheep.
Oct – Autumn	Before restocking treatment 3 and 6 with sheep:
clean up and	18 plots visual assessments % of leaves
treatments	i) under the trees and ii) on the grass strips
	Treatment application
	Mow/pulverise leaves in treatments 1, 2 and 3
	Restock treatments 4, 5 and 6 until all the fallen leaves are eaten. Again
	rotate sheep when grass is down to 4 cm as for initial application of
	sheep
	Post re stocking and autumn treatments
	18 plots visual assessments % of leaves
	i) under the trees and ii) on the grass strips
	Record damage caused by sheep if any and the number of trees in the
	plot affected

# 8 Statistical analysis

Table 5. Description of the data for statistical analysis

Measurement	Analys	·
Soil Samples nutrient analysis	a.	June Pre stocking
(1 per plot, 18 plots)	b.	Aug Post stocking
Distance from soil to lowest	a.	June Pre stocking
branch	b.	Aug Post stocking
(1 per plot, 18 plots)	<b>.</b>	7108 1 000 000011118
Grass Samples mineral analysis	a.	June Pre stocking
and dry matters (?digestibility)	b.	Aug Post stocking
(1 per plot, 18 plots)		of control of
Soil Compaction Measurements	a.	June Pre stocking
(1 per plot, 18 plots)	b.	Aug Post stocking
Worm analysis per plot	a.	June Pre stocking
(1 per plot, 18 plots)	b.	Aug Post stocking
Mean Sward Heights	a.	June Pre stocking Sward heights
(1 per plot, 18 plots)		· ·
Sheep Weights	a.	Sheep weights when put on to a plot various dates
	b.	Sheep weights when taken out of a plot
Sheep grazing days	Numbe	er of sheep x days in the plot.
% Leaf Scab analysis	a.	June
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	b.	July
	c.	Aug
Leaf % cover	a.	Autumn/Oct Pre re stocking
	b.	Autumn/Nov Post re stocking
Sheep damage to trees		
Harvest Data	Α	Wt of 100 apples kg
	В	Plot Wt picked kg
	С	Plot Wt drops kg
	D	Total Yield of no trees kg
	Е	Number of cropping trees per plot
	F	Av Yield per tree Kg
	G	% <70 mm
	Н	% 70 – 80 mm
	1	% 80 – 90 mm
	J	% 90 – 100 mm
	K	% >100 mm
	L	% Fruit > 70 mm
	M	Penetrometer
	N	Fruit No Scab seen <70 mm
	0	Fruit No Scab seen >70 mm
	P	% Marketable fruit
	Q	% Unmarketable Fruit
	R	% Blastobasis
	S	Wt of Marketable fruit per plot
	T	Wt of Unmarketable fruit per plot kg
	U	Plot Yield tonne per ha



Figure 4. Schematic diagram of the experiment.

# 9 Acknowledgements

The AGFORWARD project (Grant Agreement N° 613520) is co-funded by the European Commission, Directorate General for Research & Innovation, within the 7th Framework Programme of RTD, Theme 2 - Biotechnologies, Agriculture & Food. The views and opinions expressed in this report are purely those of the writers and may not in any circumstances be regarded as stating an official position of the European Commission. We acknowledge the support of the Soil Association and the Bulmer Foundation in organising the meetings.

#### 10 References

Mcadam, J.H. (2014). Initial Stakeholder Meeting Report Grazed orchards in Northern Ireland, UK, Loughall, Northern Ireland. Available at: <a href="http://www.agforward.eu/index.php/en/grazed-orchards-in-northern-ireland-uk.html?file=files/agforward/documents/WP3\_NI\_grazed\_orchards.pdf">http://www.agforward.eu/index.php/en/grazed-orchards.php/en/grazed-orchards-in-northern-ireland-uk.html?file=files/agforward/documents/WP3\_NI\_grazed\_orchards.pdf</a>
[Accessed January 15, 2015].

UK Meteorological Office (2015). Met Office. <a href="http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/public/weather">http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/public/weather</a>

# Appendix A. Example recording sheets

Table A.1. Tree canopy recording

	Bot	Bottom heights in centimetres					
Tree number	1 2 3 4 5 Average						
1.							
2.							
3. etc							

# Table A.2 Sheep weight

Date	Number	Sheep	Average	Average	Date	Number	Sheep	Av	Av
in	of	type*	weight	condition	Out	of	type	weight	condition
	sheep			score		sheep			score

<sup>\*</sup>ewe, lamb, ewe lamb, in-lamb ewe, ewes with lambs at foot

# Table A.3. Field operations

Date	Type of operation*	Inputs used~	Cost of inputs	Time taken

<sup>\*</sup>Topping, spraying, etc

# Table A.4. Minerals

Mineral type/description	Date	Quantity

# Table A.5. Labour

Date	Job description	Time taken

# Table A.6. Tree damage

Date	Treatment	Short Description	Damage
	1 or 2		Damage scale 1-5

<sup>~</sup>sprays, lime, etc

# Appendix B. Climatic data 1981 - 2010

for Ardress House, reference UK Meteorological Office Web Site (2015).

Month	Max. temp	Min. temp	Days of air frost (days)	Sunshine (hours)	Rainfall (mm)	Days of rainfall >= 1 mm (days)	Monthly mean wind speed at 10 m (knots)
	(°C)	(°C)					
Jan	7.4	1.9	8.6	46.4	74.5	14.3	n/a
Feb	8.1	1.6	8.8	69	54	11	n/a
Mar	10.2	3.1	4.8	96.6	65.6	13.3	n/a
Apr	12.6	4.3	2.9	142.6	57.6	11.6	n/a
May	15.6	6.7	0.3	173.5	57.8	11.8	n/a
Jun	18.0	9.6	0	144.2	58.4	10.9	n/a
Jul	19.7	11.7	0	137	62.7	11.7	n/a
Aug	19.3	11.4	0	133.3	76.3	13	n/a
Sep	16.9	9.5	0	113.9	68.1	12.2	n/a
Oct	13.4	6.8	0.9	90.2	85.5	13.7	n/a
Nov	10.0	3.9	4.4	58.5	74.6	13.6	n/a
Dec	7.7	2.1	8.5	40.3	77.1	13.3	n/a
Annual	13.3	6.1	39.2	1245.5	812.3	150.3	n/a

Ardress House (NT) site information:

Location: 54.442, -6.584

Altitude: 18 m above mean sea level